

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/779,932		GOEBEL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Noble Jarrell		1609	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Noble Jarrell.

(3) Cecilia Tsang, SPE.

(2) Carl B. Massey, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2007

Time: 1:00 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28-43 pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiners Amendment discussed for possible allowance. Attorney checked with applicants and called back to confirm changes April 25, 2007. Attorney was also notified that certified copies of the foreign priority documents have not been received by the Office, but should be submitted to show priority back to these applications. the Applications are Switzerland 2298/98 11/17/1998 and Switzerland 0797/99 4/29/1999..